# Ammonium *versus* nitrate nutrition of plants stimulates microbial activity in the rhizosphere

T. Mahmood<sup>1,3</sup>, W.M. Kaiser<sup>2</sup>, R. Ali<sup>1</sup>, M. Ashraf<sup>1</sup>, A. Gulnaz<sup>1</sup> & Z. Iqbal<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Nuclear Institute for Agriculture & Biology, Jhang Road, P.O. Box 128, 38000, Faisalabad, Pakistan

<sup>1</sup>Nuclear Institute for Agriculture & Biology, Jhang Road, P.O. Box 128, 38000, Faisalabad, Pakistan <sup>2</sup>Julius-von-Sachs-Institut für Biowissenschaften, Lehrstuhl Botanik 1, Julius-von-Sachs Platz 2, 97082, Würzburg, Germany. <sup>3</sup>Corresponding author\*

Received 12 March 2005. Accepted in revised form 9 May 2005

Key words: ammonium, maize, microbial activity, nitrate, rhizosphere, wheat

#### Abstract

Using an alkaline calcareous soil, pot experiments were conducted to elucidate the effects of  $NH_4^+$  vs.  $NO_3^-$  nutrition (50 or 100 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> soil) of wheat and maize on microbial activity in the rhizosphere and bulk soils. Dicyandiamide was used as nitrification inhibitor to maintain  $NH_4^+$  as the predominant N source for plants grown in  $NH_4^+$ -treated soil. While maize grew equally well on both N sources, root and shoot growth of wheat was higher under  $NH_4^+$  than under  $NO_3^-$  nutrition. Bacterial population density on roots, but not in the rhizosphere soil, was higher under  $NH_4^+$  than under  $NO_3^-$  supplied at 150 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil; whereas at both N levels applied,  $NH_4^+$  compared to  $NO_3^-$  nutrition of wheat and maize significantly increased microbial biomass in the rhizosphere soil. Under both plant species,  $NH_4^+$  vs.  $NO_3^-$  nutrition also increased aerobic and anaerobic respiration, and dehydrogenase activity in the rhizosphere. As microbial activity in the planted bulk and unplanted soils was hardly affected by the N-source, we hypothesize that the stimulation by  $NH_4^+$  of the rhizosphere microbial activity was probably due to higher availability of root exudates under  $NH_4^+$  than under  $NO_3^-$  nutrition.

#### Introduction

Increased microbial activity in the rhizosphere compared to bulk soil is a well established phenomenon and attributed to root-derived organic compounds known as rhizodeposits (Cheng et al., 1996; Kuzyakov and Domanski, 2000; Kuzyakov et al., 2002; Nobili et al., 2001; Qian et al., 1997). The amount of the root-born C compounds released into the rhizosphere may be as much as 40% of the net  $CO_2$  assimilation in annual species, whereas values as high as 70% have also been reported for forest species like Douglas fir (Lynch and Whipps, 1990). Sugars, organic acids and amino acids are considered as the major constituents of the low-molecular weight root exudates, with sugars being in highest (65%) and amino acids in lowest (2%) proportion (Kraffczyk et al., 1984). Factors controlling the qualitative and quantitative release of root exudates include: plant species and developmental stage, soil physical stress factors, oxygen supply, mechanical or disease injury, herbivory, foliar-applied chemicals, presence of microbes, plant nutritional status, and nitrogen source (Grayston et al., 1998; Holland et al., 1996; Mahmood et al., 2002; Marschner, 1995; Smucker and Erickson, 1987; Warembourg et al., 2003). The stimulated microbial activity around roots increases the mineralization of native soil organic matter, thus leading to substantial nutrient fluxes (Kuzyakov, 2002). On the other hand, since microbes may also contribute to as high as

<sup>\*</sup> FAX No: +92-041-654213.

E-mail: mahmood114@hotmail.com

27-66% of the CO<sub>2</sub> released in the rhizosphere (Gregory and Atwel, 1991; Keith et al., 1984; Swinnen et al., 1995; Trolldenier, 1972), high oxygen consumption by the rhizosphere microflora may impair root and shoot growth when soil oxygen is in short supply (Geisler, 1969).

Considerable information has been documented regarding the effect of N source on various aspects of plant growth and metabolism (Chaillou et al., 1991; Cramer and Lewis, 1993; Lang and Kaiser, 1994; Mahmood and Kaiser, 2003; Martins-Loucao et al., 2000; Walch-Liu et al., 2000). However, the impact of the N source on processes in the rhizosphere is relatively less well understood in soil (Söderberg and Bååth, 2004), and most of the studies dealing with this aspect have been carried out in hydroponics (Brown and Hornby, 1987; Marschner et al., 1999; Martins-Loucao et al., 2000; Trolldenier and Rheinbaban, 1981). Roots of  $NH_4^+$ compared to NO3-grown plants often possess higher sugar levels (Martins-Loucao et al., 2000). Thus NH4<sup>+</sup>-grown plants may differ from NO3<sup>-</sup>grown plants with respect to passive sugar efflux from roots. Roots of  $NH_4^+$  - compared to  $NO_3^-$ fed plants are more highly branched (Martins-Loucao et al., 2000). Considering the major sites of exudation either root apices or the points of lateral root emergence (Egeraat, 1975; Frenzel, 1960; Schroth and Snyder, 1961), differences in root exudation driving rhizosperic microbial activity might also be expected in response to changes in the root architecture depending on the N source. Roots of kallar grass [Leptochloa fusca L. (Kunth)] indeed showed much higher sugar exudation under  $NH_4^+$  than under  $NO_3^-$  nutrition, though the mechanism of increased exudation was unknown (Mahmood et al., 2002). Higher root and rhizosphere respiration with  $NH_4^+$  than  $NO_3^$ nutrition has been ascribed to increased root exudation and hence stimulation of bacterial growth (Trolldenier and Rheinbaban, 1981). The increased substrate availability in the rhizosphere of plants grown on NH4<sup>+</sup> instead of NO3<sup>-</sup> may also have significant bearing on the root colonization and activity of the beneficial microorganisms as well as of root pathogens. Increased lesion severity of the take-all fungus Gaeumannomyces gra*minis* under  $NH_4^+$  compared to  $NO_3^-$  nutrition of wheat has been attributed to higher root sugar exudation (Brown and Hornby, 1987). Increased

wheat root colonization by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* under  $NH_4^+$  compared to  $NO_3^-$  nutrition was also related to impaired exudate retention due to high H<sup>+</sup> concentration in the rhizosphere or the apoplast (Marschner et al., 1999).

Studies with hydroponics suggested that NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> compared to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> nutrition of plants increases the microbial activity in the rhizosphere, and that this stimulatory effect is attributable mainly to the increased C availability in the rhizosphere as the result of enhanced root exudation. However, it is not known whether the observed stimulatory effect of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> also prevails in soil-grown plants. In arable soils, though the added  $NH_4^+$  is nitrified rapidly, it may become the predominant N source, at least for a certain period of time, when nitrification inhibitors are employed to control NO3<sup>-</sup> loss via leaching or denitrification. Besides, due to inhibition of nitrification, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> may be the predominant N form in forest ecosystems (Lodhi and Killingbeck, 1980) or in saline habitats (Cartaxana et al., 1999). The present study was carried out to elucidate the comparative effects of NH4<sup>+</sup> vs. NO3<sup>-</sup> nutrition on different indices of microbial activity in the rhizosphere of wheat and maize plants grown in soil. We selected wheat and maize since the two species respond differently to  $NH_4^+$  compared to  $NO_3$  nutrition when grown in hydroponics (Cramer and Lewis, 1993).

#### Materials and methods

#### Soil

The soil (Hafizabad series; Typic Ustocrept) was a sandy clay loam collected from an experimental field at the Nuclear Institute for Agriculture & Biology Faisalabad and had been under a wheat-maize rotation for the past 20 years. The (0–20 cm) soil had the following physicochemical characteristics: bulk density, 1.52 g cm<sup>-3</sup>; waterholding capacity (WHC), 35%; pH (saturation paste), 7.8; electrical conductivity, 0.66 dS m<sup>-1</sup>; CaCO<sub>3</sub>, 2.02%; total organic carbon, 0.78%; total N, 0.07%; NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N, 10.53 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>--</sup>N, 23.0 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. The soil was air-dried, sieved (<2 mm) and stored at room temperature until used.

## Experiments

In a preliminary experiment with different nitrification inhibitors, only dicyandiamide proved to be effective in this soil and inhibited nitrification when applied at 20 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> (at 16 °C, the maximum soil temperature in the wheat field) to 30 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> (at 33 °C, the maximum soil temperature in the maize field).

Before each experiment, the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N was reduced by incubating the soil at 100% WHC with 2 g glucose  $kg^{-1}$ ; after 1-week of incubation at 30 °C, soil  $NO_3^-$  and the glucose had been completely consumed. The soil was again air dried and sieved (<2 mm), and filled in pots ( $11.5 \times 11$  cm, diameter  $\times$  depth, accommodating 1 kg soil). Soil was mixed with 50 mg P kg<sup>-1</sup> (as single super phosphate) and of 50 mg K kg<sup>-1</sup> (as potassium sulphate). Pots (four replicates) were treated either with a solution (equivalent to 60% WHC) of  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$   $(NH_4^+$  treatments), or of KNO<sub>3</sub> (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> treatments), each with two N levels, either 50 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup> or 150 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil. Dicyandiamide at 20 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> soil was applied (along with N solutions) to both NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> treatments in order to balance the side effects of the nitrification inhibitor. Fifteen seeds of wheat (Triticum aestivum L. cv. Ingalab-91) were sown in each pot and upon germination, plants were reduced to 10 pot<sup>-1</sup>. After germination, the wheat plants were grown for 4 weeks. Unplanted pots (four replicates) were also kept for each N treatment. The experiment was conducted under greenhouse conditions in November-December with soil temperature ranging between 12.5 and 19.0 °C. The soil moisture content was maintained at 60% WHC throughout the experiment period.

Conditions for the experiment with maize were the same as for wheat, except that dicyandiamide was applied at 30 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> soil. Fifteen seeds of maize (*Zea mays* L. cv. Composit-20) were sown in each pot leaving 10 plants pot<sup>-1</sup>. After germination, the maize plants were grown for 2 weeks. The experiment with maize was conducted in October with soil temperature ranging from 21.5–25.5 °C.

## Plant harvest and isolation of the rhizosphere soil

Soil was knocked out of the pots and the plants were shaken (60 s) on a vibrating arm shaker to

remove the loosely adhering soil. The soil tightly adhering to the roots was defined as the rhizosphere soil, whereas the rest was considered as planted bulk soil. For isolation of the rhizosphere soil (Priha et al., 1999), intact roots systems were transferred to 120-ml glass bottles containing 25 ml distilled H<sub>2</sub>O. The bottles were vortexed (5 s), sonicated in an ultrasonic water bath (60 s)and vortexed again (10 s). The planted bulk and unplanted soils were moist-sieved to pass a 2-mm screen. Soils were not stored and all measurements commenced within 2 h of the soil processing. For dry weight determination, portions of the rhizosphere soil suspension and those of planted bulk and unplanted soils were dried at 105 °C for 48 h. The rhizosphere soil suspension contained ca. 10%soil on dry weight basis. Plants were fractioned into roots and shoots, dried at 70 °C to a constant weight, and ground (< 0.5 mm) before analysis of total N (Bremner and Mulvaney, 1982).

# Bacterial population density and soil microbial biomass

The bacterial population density on roots (fresh root biomass obtained after isolation of the rhizosphere soil) and in soil was determined by plate count method using tryptic soy agar medium as described by Wollum (1982). For the measurement of soil microbial biomass, CHCl<sub>3</sub> fumigation-incubation method of Jenkinson and Powlson (1976) was followed using ethanol-free CHCl<sub>3</sub> and 10 ml of the rhizosphere soil suspension or 10-g portions of the moist planted bulk and unplanted soils. Before microbial biomass measurements, the soils were conditioned for 72 h at 30 °C. In a preliminary test, fumigation of the rhizosphere soil suspension as described by Priha et al. (1999) did not work for CHCl<sub>3</sub> fumigation-incubation procedure, whereas mixing the soil suspension with appropriate amounts of inert sand made it possible to fumigate the soil and to remove CHCl<sub>3</sub> vapors. Moreover, in a preliminary test, aerobic incubation of the soil suspension evolved much lower CO2 as compared to the soil suspension mixed with inert sand to facilitate gaseous diffusion (data not shown). Consequently, to overcome diffusional constraints, we added 20 g of dry inert sand to the rhizosphere soil suspension. The sand was also added to the planted bulk and unplanted soils and the moisture

content adjusted. Prior to use, the sand was heated at 550 °C for 6 h, washed with HCl and then with distilled H<sub>2</sub>O, and dried. No CO<sub>2</sub> was evolved from the moist sand control during a 10-d incubation. The fumigated-reinoculated (with 1% respective fresh soil) and unfumigated (control) soils were incubated at 30 °C for 10 days in air-tight 100-ml serum vials, and the headspace analyzed for CO<sub>2</sub> by gas chromatography using a thermal-conductivity detector. Microbial biomass C was calculated as: SMBC =  $F/k_C$ ; where F is the flush of CO<sub>2</sub>-C (CO<sub>2</sub>-C evolved from fumigated minus that from the unfumigated) and  $k_C$  is the recovery factor, the value of which was taken as 0.41 (Voroney and Paul, 1984).

# Soil respiration, denitrification capacity, dehydrogenase activity and mineral nitrogen

For aerobic soil respiration, 10 ml of the rhizosphere soil suspension or 10-g portions of the planted bulk and unplanted soils with 10 g sand contained in 100-ml gas tight serum vials were incubated at 30 °C for 24 h. The headspace was analyzed for  $CO_2$  by gas chromatography. For the measurement of denitrification capacity (an index of the C availability to denitrifiers; Smith and Tiedje, 1979) and anaerobic soil respiration, 10 ml of the rhizosphere soil suspension or 10-g portions of the planted bulk and unplanted soils in 100-ml serum vials were treated with of KNO<sub>3</sub> solution to provide the NO3-N concentration equivalent to 200 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> soil and the final H<sub>2</sub>O level equivalent to 12 ml bottle<sup>-1</sup>. Vials were sealed, made anaerobic by evacuation and flushing with O2-free  $N_2$  (three times), the head space was replaced by 5% acid-washed C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> and the vials incubated at 30 °C. After 24 h, the vials were manually shaken and the head space analyzed for N<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> by gas chromatography. The data were corrected for  $N_2O$  dissolved in the aqueous phase (Moraghan and Buresh, 1977). Soil dehydrogenase activity was determined by the method of Casida et al. (1964). Soil mineral N was measured by a micro-Kjeldahl method after extracting the soil with 2NKCl (Keeney and Nelson, 1982).

#### **Statistics**

Data were subjected to an analysis of variance, followed by Duncan's multiple range test (Steel

and Torrie, 1980). Results are reported as means of 4 replicate pots and expressed on dry weight basis.

# **Results and discussion**

# Plant growth

Application of dicyandiamide effectively inhibited the nitrification process during the 40 and 18-day experiment period under wheat and maize, respectively. However, analyzing the unplanted soils at the end of experiments showed that nitrification was not completely inhibited by dicyandiamide and a part of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> was converted into  $NO_3^-$  in  $NH_4^+$ -treated soils, whereas some  $NH_4^+$  also had accumulated in  $NO_3^-$  treatments due to mineralization of the soil organic N or that of dicyandiamide (Table 1). Consequently, by the end of experiments, the initial  $NH_4^+$ -N:  $NO_3^{-}$ -N ratio had decreased in  $NH_4^{+}$  treatments and increased in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> treatments. Under these experimental conditions, therefore,  $NH_4^+$  or NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> treatments did not represent as the sole N sources throughout the study period. Instead, NH4<sup>+</sup> and NO3<sup>-</sup> remained as the predominant N sources in  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  treatments, respectively. The much lower NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N content in the unplanted soil during maize compared to wheat experiment was probably due to higher gaseous N loss because of the higher soil temperature during the maize experiment.

At the lower N level applied, the N form had no effect on the wheat root growth, whereas with higher N level, NH4<sup>+</sup> compared to NO3<sup>-</sup> nutrition produced 32% higher root yield (Table 2). Wheat shoot yield was 31% (at 50 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup>) to 39% (at 150 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup>) higher under NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> than under  $NO_3^-$  nutrition (P < 0.05). Root and shoot growth of maize was not affected by the N form, whereas increasing the concentration of both  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  reduced the root biomass (P < 0.05). At the higher N application,  $NH_4^+$ compared to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-grown wheat and maize plants maintained higher total N concentration both in roots and in shoots, whereas at lower N application, the N form had no effect on the tissue N concentration.

In our conditions, the pH of the rhizosphere soil was only slightly lower under  $NH_4^+$  than that

| Experiment | Treatment                     | $\mathrm{NH_4}^+$ -N (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> DW) | NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> DW) | NH4 <sup>+</sup> -N: NO3 <sup>-</sup> -N ratio |
|------------|-------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Wheat      | Am-50 mg N $kg^{-1}$          | 33.9 b <sup>b</sup>                           | 11.9 c   | 3.04 b   |
|            | Nit-50 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup>  | 10.9 c  | 62.7 b   | 0.17 c   |
|            | Am-150 mg N $kg^{-1}$         | 127.5 a                                       | 16.6 c   | 8.07 a   |
|            | Nit-150 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup> | 17.0 c  | 143.3 a  | 0.12 c   |
| Maize      | Am-50 mg N $kg^{-1}$          | 48.4 b  | 4.3 c  | 12.65 b  |
|            | Nit-50 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup>  | 22.0 с  | 35.0 b   | 0.64 c   |
|            | Am-150 mg N $kg^{-1}$         | 99.8 a  | 4.3 c  | 23.95 a  |
|            | Nit-150 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup> | 24.1 c  | 94.6 a   | 0.26 c   |

Table 1. Ammonium- and nitrate-N concentrations of the unplanted soil treated with ammonium (Am) or nitrate (Nit) in the presence of dicyandiamide<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Measured at the end of experiments (wheat, 40 days after N treatments; maize, 18 days after N treatments).

<sup>b</sup>For each experiment, values within a column followed by different letter are significantly different by Duncan's multiple range test (P < 0.05).

Table 2. Dry matter yield and nitrogen content of wheat and maize grown under ammonium (Am) or nitrate (Nit) as nitrogen source

| Treatment                     | Wheat               |                       | Maize  | Maize   |  |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------|---------|--|
|                               | Root                | Shoot                 | Root   | Shoot   |  |
|                               | Dry weight (mg      | plant <sup>-1</sup> ) |        |         |  |
| Am-50 mg N $kg^{-1}$          | 38.8 a <sup>a</sup> | 79.4 b                | 83.8 a | 138.5 a |  |
| Nit-50 mg N $kg^{-1}$         | 31.3 ab             | 60.7 c                | 88.3 a | 141.5 a |  |
| Am-150 mg N $kg^{-1}$         | 38.9 a              | 95.2 a                | 64.3 b | 131.8 a |  |
| Nit-150 mg N $kg^{-1}$        | 29.5 b              | 68.7 bc               | 65.5 b | 139.0 a |  |
|                               | Total nitrogen (%   | % of dry matter)      |        |         |  |
| Am-50 mg N $kg^{-1}$          | 1.97 b              | 4.97 b                | 2.27 c | 4.41 c  |  |
| Nit-50 mg N $kg^{-1}$         | 1.79 b              | 4.74 b                | 2.02 c | 4.33 c  |  |
| Am-150 mg N $kg^{-1}$         | 3.08 a              | 5.51 a                | 3.02 a | 6.07 a  |  |
| Nit-150 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup> | 2.03 b              | 4.92 b                | 2.70 b | 4.98 b  |  |

<sup>a</sup>For each parameter, values within a column followed by different letter are significantly different by Duncan's multiple range test (P < 0.05).

under  $NO_3^-$  nutrition (Table 3). The calcareous soil used in the present study had enough buffering capacity to maintain the pH, thus avoiding the pH-related growth disorders. By and large, at the concentrations applied in the present study,  $NO_3^$ as the dominating N source did not show an advantage over  $NH_4^+$  nutrition, as generally reported in poorly buffered hydroponics (Cramer and Lewis, 1993; Gill and Reisenauer, 1993).

# Bacterial population density and soil microbial biomass

Averaged across treatments, the bacterial population density under both plant species was highest on the roots (Table 4). Under wheat, the population density was similar in the rhizosphere and in the planted bulk and unplanted soils. In contrast, the maize rhizosphere had significantly higher population density as compared to the planted bulk and unplanted soils. Comparing the overall treatment effects, the population density was generally higher under NH4<sup>+</sup> nutrition than under  $NO_3^-$  (P < 0.05). Only at higher N concentrations applied,  $NH_4^+$  compared to  $NO_3^-$  nutrition caused higher bacterial population density on roots of both plant species. In the rhizosphere soil, however, the stimulatory effect of  $NH_4^+$ was observed only in wheat supplied with the lower N level. In the unplanted soil receiving higher N level the increased bacterial population density under  $NH_4^+$  than under  $NO_3^-$  indicates the preferential utilization of NH4<sup>+</sup> by microbes (Recous et al., 1990).

| Plant species | Treatment                     | Soil type           | Treatment mean |           |        |
|---------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------|--------|
|               |                               | Rhizosphere         | Planted bulk   | Unplanted |        |
| Wheat         | Am-50 mg N $kg^{-1}$          | 7.28 g <sup>a</sup> | 7.80 b         | 7.73 cd   | 7.60 B |
|               | Nit-50 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup>  | 7.60 f              | 8.00 a         | 7.65 ef   | 7.75 A |
|               | Am-150 mg N $kg^{-1}$         | 7.20 h              | 7.70 de        | 7.64 ef   | 7.51 C |
|               | Nit-150 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup> | 7.78 bc             | 7.80 b         | 7.60 f    | 7.73 A |
|               | Soil type mean                | 7.47 C              | 7.83 A         | 7.66 B    |        |
| Maize         | Am-50 mg N $kg^{-1}$          | 7.14 f              | 7.81 c         | 7.98 ab   | 7.64 B |
|               | Nit-50 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup>  | 7.34 e              | 8.08 a         | 8.05 a    | 7.82 A |
|               | Am-150 mg N $kg^{-1}$         | 7.24 ef             | 7.59 d         | 7.85 bc   | 7.56 C |
|               | Nit-150 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup> | 7.64 d              | 8.03 a         | 7.93 abc  | 7.86 A |
|               | Soil type mean                | 7.34 C              | 7.88 B         | 7.95 A    |        |

Table 3. pH of the rhizosphere, planted bulk and unplanted soils under wheat and maize fertilized with ammonium (Am) or nitrate (Nit)

<sup>a</sup>For each plant species, means followed by different letter (lower case) are significantly different by Duncan's multiple range test (P < 0.05); treatment means or soil type means followed by different letter (upper case) are significantly different by Duncan's multiple range test (P < 0.05).

Table 4. Bacterial population density and soil microbial biomass under wheat and maize fertilized with ammonium (Am) or nitrate (Nit)

| Plant species | Treatment                     | Roots               | Rhizosphere        | Planted bulk            | Unplanted | Treatment mean |
|---------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------|----------------|
|               |                               | Bacterial p         | oopulation density | $(^{10}\log g^{-1} DW)$ |           |                |
| Wheat         | Am-50 mg N $kg^{-1}$          | 7.94 a <sup>a</sup> | 7.38 bcd           | 6.88 ef                 | 7.08 de   | 7.32 A         |
|               | Nit-50 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup>  | 7.62 ab             | 6.92 ef            | 7.04 de                 | 6.66 f    | 7.06 B         |
|               | Am-150 mg N $kg^{-1}$         | 7.62 a              | 6.65 f             | 7.18 e                  | 7.08 de   | 7.13 B         |
|               | Nit-150 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup> | 7.48 bc             | 6.65 f             | 6.94 ef                 | 6.86 ef   | 6.98 B         |
|               | Soil type mean                | 7.66 A              | 6.90 B             | 7.01 B                  | 6.92 B    |                |
| Maize         | Am-50 mg N $kg^{-1}$          | 9.15 b              | 8.24 e             | 7.78 fg                 | 8.75 cd   | 8.48 B         |
|               | Nit-50 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup>  | 8.99 bc             | 8.02 ef            | 7.22 h                  | 8.69 d    | 8.23 C         |
|               | Am-150 mg N $kg^{-1}$         | 9.97 a              | 9.15 b             | 7.68 g                  | 8.95 bcd  | 8.94 A         |
|               | Nit-150 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup> | 9.15 b              | 9.06 b             | 7.13 h                  | 7.57 g    | 8.23 C         |
|               | Soil type mean                | 9.31 A              | 8.62 B             | 7.45 D                  | 8.49 C    |                |
|               |                               | Soil micro          | bial biomass (µg C | $c g^{-1} DW$           |           |                |
| Wheat         | Am-50 mg N $kg^{-1}$          | nd                  | 192 a              | 54 g                    | 76 ef     | 107 A          |
|               | Nit-50 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup>  | nd                  | 131 c              | 67 f                    | 86 de     | 95 B           |
|               | Am-150 mg N $kg^{-1}$         | nd                  | 171 b              | 65 fg                   | 94 d      | 110 A          |
|               | Nit-150 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup> | nd                  | 96 d               | 67 f                    | 90 d      | 84 C           |
|               | Soil type mean                | nd                  | 148 A              | 63 C                    | 87 B      |                |
| Maize         | Am-50 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup>   | nd                  | 309 a              | 66 c                    | 80 c      | 152 AB         |
|               | Nit-50 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup>  | nd                  | 185 b              | 66 c                    | 66 c      | 106 BC         |
|               | Am-150 mg N $kg^{-1}$         | nd                  | 367 a              | 69 c                    | 68 c      | 168 A          |
|               | Nit-150 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup> | nd                  | 109 bc             | 67 c                    | 76 c      | 84 C           |
|               | Soil type mean                | nd                  | 242 A              | 67 B                    | 73 B      |                |

<sup>a</sup>For each parameter, means followed by different letter (lower case) are significantly different by Duncan's multiple range test (P < 0.05); treatment means or soil type means followed by different letter (upper case) are significantly different by Duncan's multiple range test (P < 0.05).

nd = not determined.

# 238

Averaged across treatments, the rhizosphere soil had 2-4-fold higher microbial biomass content than the planted bulk and unplanted soils. This was apparently associated with the microbial use of root-derived C, indicating higher substrate availability in the rhizosphere (Priha et al., 1999; Qian et al., 1997). Ammonium compared to NO3<sup>-</sup> nutrition substantially increased the microbial biomass in the rhizosphere of wheat (47-78% increase) and that of maize (67-278% increase), the stimulatory effect being more pronounced at higher N application rate (P < 0.05). Microbial biomass content of the planted bulk and unplanted soils was generally not affected by the N form. Although,  $NH_4^+$  compared to  $NO_3^-$  supplied at 150 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> increased the bacterial population density on roots of both wheat and maize (Table 4), the population density in soil was not correlated with the microbial biomass (Table 7). This might indicate a major contribution of fungi to the soil microbial biomass. Besides other factors, the soil microbial community structure is strongly influenced by the substrate loading rate, with fungi dominating over bacteria at high substrate loading rate (Griffiths et al., 1998), and this may also apply to microbial community structure in the rhizosphere. We may not rule out the possibility that bacterial population density in the rhizosphere and bulk soils might also have been affected by N treatments without being detected by the method used since the culture-dependent methods are well known to underestimate the bacterial density in soils. Nevertheless, results of soil microbial biomass indicate an overall increase in the rhizosphere microbial population under NH4<sup>+</sup> than under NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> nutrition of both wheat and maize.

# Microbial activity

Aerobic soil respiration in the rhizosphere was 7–10 times and 17–20 times higher than that of the planted bulk and unplanted soils, respectively (Tables 5 and 6). Both under wheat and maize, the N form had no effect on soil respiration in the planted bulk and unplanted soils. However, the rhizosphere soil of  $NH_4^+$  compared to  $NO_3^-$  grown plants showed 39–67% (under wheat) and 63–65% (under maize) higher soil respiration (P < 0.05). Aerobic respiration was generally higher under wheat than under maize, whereas

anaerobic respiration was substantially higher under maize than under wheat. Anaerobic soil respiration in the rhizosphere, on the average, was 6 times (under wheat) to 34 times (under maize) higher than that of planted bulk and unplanted soils (P < 0.05) (Tables 5 and 6). These very large differences may indicate differences in the quality/ quantity of root exudates of C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> plant species as hypothesized by Kuzykov (2002). We speculate that root exudates from maize were dominated by sugars thus supporting much higher anaerobic respiration as compared to organic acids which probably dominated in wheat root exudates, and were not efficient C sources under anaerobic conditions. As observed for the aerobic soil respiration, N form had no effect on the anaerobic respiration in planted bulk and unplanted soils. For the rhizosphere soil, however,  $NH_4^+$  compared to  $NO_3^-$  nutrition caused 81– 199% (under wheat) and 12-17% (under maize) increase in the anaerobic respiration (P < 0.05), the effect being higher with higher N application rate. The correlation of anaerobic soil respiration with the denitrification capacity was highly significant (Table 7), indicating that much of the  $CO_2$  had originated from the activity of denitrifiers since the assay was carried out under denitrifying conditions. Denitrification capacity of the rhizosphere soil showed variable response to the N form, but was significantly higher than that of the planted bulk and unplanted soils (P < 0.05) (Tables 5 and 6). At lower N application rate, N form had no effect on the denitrification capacity of the rhizosphere soil, whereas at higher N application rate the capacity under NH4<sup>+</sup> compared to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> nutrition was either higher (under wheat) or lower (under maize) indicating differential effects of plant species.

Rhizosphere and N form effects were also pronounced for dehydrogenase activity that was 5 times higher in the rhizosphere than the planted bulk and unplanted soils (P < 0.05) (Tables 5 and 6). The activity in the planted bulk and unplanted soils was generally not influenced by the N form. However, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> compared to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> nutrition caused almost 2 times higher dehydrogenase activity in the rhizosphere soil, both under wheat and maize (P < 0.05). The increased dehydrogenase activity confirms higher substrate availability under NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> compared to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> nutrition. Under both plant species, the

| Treatment                     | Soil type            |   |                              | Treatment mean |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|---|------------------------------|----------------|
|                               | Rhizosphere          | Planted bulk  | Unplanted                    |                |
|                               | Dehydrogenase acti   | ivity (µg triphenyl-formaza                                 | $n g^{-1} DW h^{-24}$ )      |                |
| Am-50 mg N $kg^{-1}$          | 1224 a <sup>a</sup>  | 209 e   | 142 ef                       | 523 A          |
| Nit-50 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup>  | 552 c                | 122 f   | 149 ef                       | 276 C          |
| Am-150 mg N $kg^{-1}$         | 878 b                | 103 f   | 158 ef                       | 379 B          |
| Nit-150 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup> | 478 d                | 137 ef  | 168 ef                       | 259 C          |
| Soil type mean <sup>c</sup>   | 782 A                | 144 B   | 154 B                        |                |
|                               | Aerobic respiration  | $(\mu g CO_2 - C g^{-1} DW h^{-24})$                        |                              |                |
| Am-50 mg N $kg^{-1}$          | 27.72 b              | 3.00 d  | 1.49 d                       | 10.73 B        |
| Nit-50 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup>  | 19.94 c              | 2.42 d  | 1.58 d                       | 7.99 C         |
| Am-150 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup>  | 38.18 a              | 2.66 d  | 1.27 d                       | 14.04 A        |
| Nit-150 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup> | 22.90 с              | 2.59 d  | 1.80 d                       | 9.10 C         |
| Soil type mean                | 27.46 A              | 2.66 B  | 1.54 B                       |                |
|                               | Anaerobic respirati  | on (µg CO <sub>2</sub> -C g <sup>-1</sup> DW h <sup>-</sup> | <sup>24</sup> ) <sup>b</sup> |                |
| Am-50 mg N $kg^{-1}$          | 27.02 b              | 5.45 d  | 3.41 d                       | 11.95 B        |
| Nit-50 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup>  | 14.88 c              | 4.66 d  | 2.71 d                       | 7.82 C         |
| Am-150 mg N $kg^{-1}$         | 46.85 a              | 6.31 d  | 4.46 d                       | 19.20 A        |
| Nit-150 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup> | 15.77 c              | 5.14 d  | 4.42 d                       | 8.40 C         |
| Soil type mean                | 26.11 A              | 5.38 B  | 4.06 B                       |                |
|                               | Denitrification capa | acity ( $\mu$ g N g <sup>-1</sup> DW h <sup>-24</sup> )     |                              |                |
| Am-50 mg N $kg^{-1}$          | 64.56 bc             | 7.68 d  | 6.31 d                       | 26.18 B        |
| Nit-50 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup>  | 53.21 c              | 8.28 d  | 2.88 d                       | 21.46 B        |
| Am-150 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup>  | 105.91 a             | 17.47 d   | 5.23 d                       | 43.10 A        |
| Nit-150 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup> | 67.90 b              | 8.95 d  | 5.23 d                       | 27.34 B        |
| Soil type mean                | 72.89 A              | 10.58 B   | 4.90 B                       |                |

Table 5. Dehydrogenase activity, aerobic and anaerobic respiration and denitrification capacity of the soil under wheat fertilized with ammonium (Am) or nitrate (Nit)

<sup>a</sup>For each parameter, means followed by different letter (lower case) are significantly different by Duncan's multiple range test (P < 0.05); treatment means or soil type means followed by different letter (upper case) are significantly different by Duncan's multiple range test (P < 0.05).

<sup>b</sup>Measured under denitrifying conditions in the presence of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>.

correlation of dehydrogenase activity with the aerobic and anaerobic soil respiration, denitrification capacity, and with microbial biomass was highly significant (P < 0.001) (Table 7).

The lack of treatment effects on the soil microbial biomass and activity in the unplanted soil indicates that increased microbial biomass and activity in the rhizosphere of  $NH_4^+$  EN 1-1 compared to  $NO_3^-$  EN 1-1 grown plants was not attributable to the preferential utilization of  $NH_4^+$  by soil microbes, but was most probably due to increased root exudation by  $NH_4^+$ -fed plants. We reported previously that root sugar exudation by hydroponically grown kallar grass [*Leptochloa fusca* (L.) Kunth] was up to 79-fold higher under  $NH_4^+$  compared to  $NO_3^-$  nutrition (Mahmood et al., 2002). Therefore, we speculate here that  $NH_4^+$  compared to  $NO_3^-$  nutrition

might have stimulated root sugar exudation also in wheat and maize. However, possible effects of N source on the composition of root exudates and their availability to the rhizosphere microflora may not be ruled out; the higher N content of the NH4<sup>+</sup>-fed plants could have changed the quality of the exudates. Roots of NH4<sup>+</sup> grown kallar grass exuded 3-fold higher amino acids than NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-grown plants (Mahmood et al., 2002). Thus the higher sugar exudation in the rhizosphere of  $NH_4^+$  plants (Mahmood et al., 2002), the abundance of  $NH_4^+$  in  $NH_4^+$ -treated soil, and the preferential use of  $NH_4^+$  by soil microbes (Rangel-Castro and Taylor, 2002; Recous et al., 1990) might lead to the increased rhizosphere microbial activity under NH4<sup>+</sup> compared to NO3<sup>-</sup> nutrition as observed in the present study.

| Treatment                     | Soil type            |   |                              | Treatment mean |  |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|---|------------------------------|----------------|--|
|                               | Rhizosphere          | Planted bulk  | Unplanted                    |                |  |
|                               | Dehydrogenase act    | ivity (μg triphenyl-formaza                                 | $n g^{-1} DW h^{-24}$ )      |                |  |
| Am-50 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup>   | 1927 a <sup>a</sup>  | 276 c   | 269 c                        | 823 A          |  |
| Nit-50 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup>  | 941 b                | 259 c   | 259 с                        | 487 B          |  |
| Am-150 mg N $kg^{-1}$         | 1747 a               | 283 c   | 238 c                        | 756 A          |  |
| Nit-150 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup> | 739 b                | 271 c   | 288 c                        | 434 B          |  |
| Soil type mean <sup>c</sup>   | 1339 A               | 271 B   | 264 B                        |                |  |
|                               | Aerobic respiration  | $(\mu g CO_2 - C g^{-1} DW h^{-24})$                        | )                            |                |  |
| Am-50 mg N $kg^{-1}$          | 18.70 a              | 2.42 d  | 0.53 d                       | 7.22 A         |  |
| Nit-50 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup>  | 11.33 b              | 2.42 d  | 0.53 d                       | 4.75 B         |  |
| Am-150 mg N $kg^{-1}$         | 13.03 b              | 0.94 d  | 0.77 d                       | 4.92 B         |  |
| Nit-150 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup> | 7.99 c               | 1.37 d  | 0.72 d                       | 3.36 C         |  |
| Soil type mean                | 12.77 A              | 1.80 B  | 0.65 C                       |                |  |
|                               | Anaerobic respirati  | on (µg CO <sub>2</sub> -C g <sup>-1</sup> DW h <sup>-</sup> | <sup>24</sup> ) <sup>b</sup> |                |  |
| Am-50 mg N $kg^{-1}$          | 99.50 c              | 4.37 e  | 2.76 e                       | 35.54 BC       |  |
| Nit-50 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup>  | 88.49 d              | 3.07 e  | 3.19 e                       | 31.58 C        |  |
| Am-150 mg N $kg^{-1}$         | 132.86 a             | 3.67 e  | 3.89 e                       | 46.80 A        |  |
| Nit-150 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup> | 113.95 b             | 3.10 e  | 2.28 e                       | 39.77 B        |  |
| Soil type mean                | 108.70 A             | 3.55 B  | 3.02 B                       |                |  |
|                               | Denitrification capa | acity ( $\mu$ g N g <sup>-1</sup> DW h <sup>-24</sup> )     |                              |                |  |
| Am-50 mg N $kg^{-1}$          | 456.24 bc            | 20.38 d   | 14.90 d                      | 163.85 A       |  |
| Nit-50 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup>  | 408.00 c             | 21.94 d   | 16.39 d                      | 148.78 A       |  |
| Am-150 mg N $kg^{-1}$         | 457.44 b             | 19.61 d   | 17.81 d                      | 164.95 A       |  |
| Nit-150 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup> | 516.96 a             | 21.98 d   | 19.63 d                      | 186.19 A       |  |
| Soil type mean                | 459.67 A             | 20.98 B   | 17.18 B                      |                |  |

| Table 6. | Dehydrogenase  | activity,   | aerobic | and | anaerobic | respiration | and | denitrification | capacity | of | the | soil | under | maize | fertilized |
|----------|----------------|-------------|---------|-----|-----------|-------------|-----|-----------------|----------|----|-----|------|-------|-------|------------|
| with am  | monium (Am) of | r nitrate ( | (Nit)   |     |           |             |     |                 |          |    |     |      |       |       |            |

<sup>a</sup>For each parameter, means followed by different letter (lower case) are significantly different by Duncan's multiple range test (P < 0.05); treatment means or soil type means followed by different letter (upper case) are significantly different by Duncan's multiple range test (P < 0.05). <sup>b</sup>Measured under denitrifying conditions in the presence of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>.

| Table 7. | Relationships | among different | indices of | microbial | activity ir | ı soil ( | (r-values) |
|----------|---------------|-----------------|------------|-----------|-------------|----------|------------|
|          | 1             | 6               |            |           | ~           |          | · /        |

| Plant species | Parameter   | Aerobic respiration     | Anaerobic respiration          | Denitrification capacity                   | Bacterial density                   | Microbial<br>biomass                                  |
|---------------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|
| Wheat         | Dehydrogenase activity<br>Aerobic respiration<br>Anaerobic respiration<br>Denitrification capacity<br>Bacterial density | 0.902***<br><br><br>    | 0.852***<br>0.951***<br><br>   | 0.844***<br>0.991***<br>0.946***<br>-      | 0.114<br>-0.185<br>-0.168<br>-0.231 | 0.950***<br>0.867***<br>0.847***<br>0.809***<br>0.108 |
| Maize         | Dehydrogenase activity<br>Aerobic respiration<br>Anaerobic respiration<br>Denitrification capacity<br>Bacterial density | 0.965***<br>-<br>-<br>- | 0.885***<br>0.901***<br>-<br>- | 0.848***<br>0.901***<br>0.984***<br>-<br>- | 0.180<br>0.267<br>0.388<br>0.436    | 0.976***<br>0.905***<br>0.857***<br>0.786**<br>0.141  |

<sup>a</sup>\*\*\* and \*\* indicate the correlation coefficients significant at P < 0.001 and P < 0.01, respectively (n = 12).

| Table 8. | Aerobic and    | l anaerobic r | espiration, | and de | enitrification | capacity | of the | rhizosphere | soil o | f the | maize | hybrid |
|----------|----------------|---------------|-------------|--------|----------------|----------|--------|-------------|--------|-------|-------|--------|
| FHY-434  | 4 after 10 day | ys growth ur  | nder ammor  | nium ( | (Am) or nitra  | te (Nit) |        |             |        |       |       |        |

| Treatment                     | Aerobic respiration $(\mu g C g^{-1} DW h^{-24})$ | Anaerobic respiration ( $\mu$ g C g <sup>-1</sup> DW h <sup>-24</sup> ) | Denitrification capacity ( $\mu$ g N g <sup>-1</sup> DW h <sup>-24</sup> ) |
|-------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Am-50 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup>   | 533 a <sup>a</sup>                                | 143 b   | 758 a  |
| Nit-50 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup>  | 238 b   | 111 b   | 463 b  |
| Am-250 mg N $kg^{-1}$         | 535 a   | 348 a   | 778 a  |
| Nit-250 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup> | 439 a   | 102 b   | 797 a  |

<sup>a</sup>Values in a column followed by different letter are significantly different by Duncan's multiple range test (P < 0.05).

In another experiment carried out with a fastgrowing maize hybrid FHY-434,  $NH_4^+$  compared to  $NO_3^-$  even supplied at 250 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil was not detrimental to the maize plant growth, whereas it significantly increased the aerobic and anaerobic respiration and the denitrification capacity of the rhizosphere soil, confirming higher substrate availability in the rhizosphere of  $NH_4^+$ -grown plants (Table 8).

#### Conclusion

The overall results of the present study conform to those of earlier studies carried out in hydroponics (Brown and Hornby, 1987; Trolldenier and Rheinbaban, 1981) and suggest that  $NH_4^+$ compared to  $NO_3^-$  nutrition also stimulates microbial activity in the rhizosphere of soil-grown plants. As a working hypothesis we suggest that the observed stimulation of the rhizosphere microbial activity is due to enhanced root exudation and utilization of root exudates under  $NH_4^+$ compared to  $NO_3^-$  nutrition.

#### Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Muhammad Asad Mehmood for valuable technical assistance. Equipment grant by the Alexander-von-Humboldt Foundation Germany is also sincerely acknowledged.

#### References

Bremner J M and Mulvaney C S 1982 Nitrogen – total. In Methods of soil analysis. Part 2. Microbiological and Biochemical Properties. Eds. A L Page., R H Miller. and D R Keeney. pp. 595–662. Soil Sci. Soc. Am, Madison.

- Brown M E and Hornby D 1987 Effects of nitrate and ammonium on wheat roots in gnotobiotic culture amino acids, cortical cell death and take-all caused by *Gaemannomyces graminis* var *tritici*. Soil Biol. Biochem. 19, 567–574.
- Cartaxana P, Caçador I, Vale C, Falcão M and Catarino F 1999 Seasonal variation of inorganic nitrogen and net mineralization in a salt marsh ecosystem. Mangroves Salt Marshes 3, 127–134.
- Casida L E Jr, Klein D A and Santoro T 1964 Soil dehydrogenase activity. Soil Sci. 98, 371–376.
- Chaillou S, Vessey J K, Morot-Gaudry J F, Raper C D Jr, Henry L T and Boutin J P 1991 Expression of characteristics of ammonium nutrition as affected by pH of the root medium. J. Exp. Bot. 42, 189–196.
- Cheng W X, Zhang Q L, Coleman D C and Carroll C R 1996 Is available carbon limiting microbial respiration in the rhizosphere?. Soil Biol. Biochem. 28, 283–288.
- Cramer M D and Lewis O A M 1993 The influence of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> nutrition on the carbon and nitrogen partitioning characteristics of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) and maize (*Zea mays* L.) plants. Plant Soil 154, 289–300.
- van Egeraat A W S M 1975 Exudation of ninhydrin-positive compounds by pea-seedling roots: A study of the sites of exudation and the composition of the exudates. Plant Soil 42, 37–47.
- Frenzel B 1960 Zur Ätiologie der Anreicherung von Aminosäuren und Amiden im Wurzelraum von *Helianthus annus* L.: ein Beitrag zur kl ärung der Probleme der Rhizosphäre. Planta 55, 169–207.
- Geisler G 1969 Einfluß der Sauerstoffkonzentration (O<sub>2</sub>) in der Bodenluft auf das Wurzellängenwachstum und die Trockensubstanzbildung von Mais, Gerste und Ackerbohnen bei verschiedenem Bodenwassergehalt. Z. Acker Pflanzenbau 130, 189–202.
- Gill M A and Reisenauer H M 1993 Nature and characterization of ammonium effects on wheat and tomato. Agron. J. 85, 874–879.
- Grayston S J, Wang S, Campbell C D and Edwards A C 1998 Selective influence of plant species on microbial diversity in the rhizosphere. Soil Biol. Biochem. 30, 369–378.
- Gregory P J and Atwel I B J 1991 The fate of carbon in pulselabelled crops of barley and wheat. Plant Soil 136, 205–213.
- Griffiths B S, Ritz K, Ebblewhite N and Dobson G 1998 Soil microbial community structure: Effects of substrate loading rates. Soil Biol. Biochem. 31, 145–153.

- Holland J N, Cheng W and Crossley D A Jr 1996 Herbivoreinduced changes in plant carbon allocation: Assessment of below-ground C fluxes using carbon-14. Oecologia 107, 87–94.
- Jenkinson D S and Powlson D S 1976 The effects of biocidal treatments on metabolism in soil–V. A method for measuring soil biomass. Soil Biol. Biochem. 8, 209–213.
- Keeney D R and Nelson D W 1982 Nitrogen inorganic forms. In Methods of soil analysis. Part 2. Microbiological and Biochemical Properties. Eds. A L Page., R H Miller. and D R Keeney. pp. 643–698. Soil Sci. Soc. Am, Madison.
- Keith H, Oades J M and Martin J K 1984 Input of carbon to soil from wheat plants. Soil Biol. Biochem. 18, 445–449.
- Kraffczyk I, Trolldenier G and Beringer H 1984 Soluble root exudates of maize (*Zea mays* L.): Influence of potassium supply and rhizosphere microorganisms. Soil Biol.Biochem. 16, 315–322.
- Kuzyakov Y 2002 Review: Factors affecting rhizosphere priming effects. J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci. 165, 382–396.
- Kuzyakov Y, Biryukova O V, Kuznetzova T V, Mölter K, Kandeler E and Stahr K 2002 Carbon partitioning in plant and soil, carbon dioxide fluxes and enzyme activities as affected by cutting ryegrass. Biol. Fert. Soils 35, 348–358.
- Kuzyakov Y and Domanski G 2000 Carbon input by plants into the soil Review. J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci 163, 421–431.
- Lang B and Kaiser W M 1994 Solute content and energy status of roots of barley plants cultivated at different pH on nitrate or ammonium nitrogen. New Phytol. 128, 451–459.
- Lodhi M A K and Killingbeck K T 1980 Allelopathic inhibition of nitrification and nitrifying bacteria in a ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa* Dougl.) community. Am. J. Bot. 67, 1423–1429.
- Lynch J M and Whipps J M 1990 Substrate flow in the rhizosphere. Plant Soil 129, 1–10.
- Mahmood T and Kaiser W M 2003 Growth and solute composition of kallar grass [*Leptochloa fusca* (L.) Kunth] as affected by nitrogen source. Plant Soil 252, 359–366.
- Mahmood T, Woitke M, Gimmler H and Kaiser W M 2002 Sugar exudation by roots of kallar grass [*Leptochloa fusca* (L.) Kunth] is strongly affected by the nitrogen source. Planta 214, 887–894.
- Marschner H 1995 Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants. 2nd ed. Academic Press, London. 889 p.
- Marschner P, Gerendás J and Sattalmacher B 1999 Effect of N concentration and N source on root colonization by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* 2–79RLI. Plant Soil 215, 135–141.
- Martins-Loucao M A., Cruz C and Correia P M 2000 New approaches to enhanced ammonium assimilation in plants. *In* Nitrogen in a Sustainable Ecosystem From the Cell to the Plant. Eds. M A Martins-Loucao. and S H Lips. pp. 349–360. Backhuy, Leiden.
- Moraghan J T and Buresh R 1977 Correction for dissolved N<sub>2</sub>O in nitrogen studies. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J 41, 1201–1202.
- Nobili M, Contin M, Mondini C and Brookes P C 2001 Soil microbial biomass is triggered into activity by trace amounts of substrate. Soil Biol. Biochem. 33, 1163–1170.

- Priha O, Hallantic T and Smolander A 1999 Comparing microbial biomass, denitrification enzyme activity, and numbers of nitrifiers in the rhizospheres of *Pinus sylvestris*, *Picea abies and Betula pendula* seedlings by microscale methods. Biol. Fert. Soils 30, 14–19.
- Qian J H, Doran J W and Walters D T 1997 Maize plant contributions to root zone available carbon and microbial transformations of nitrogen. Soil Biol. Biochem. 29, 1451– 1462.
- Rangel-Castro I J and Taylor D E 2002 Use of different nitrogen sources by the edible ectomycorrhizal mushroom *Cantharellus cibarius*. Mycorrhiza 12, 131–137.
- Recous S, Mary B and Faurie G 1990 Microbial immobilization of ammonium and nitrate in cultivated soils. Soil Biol. Biochem. 22, 913–922.
- Schroth M N and Snyder W C 1961 Effect of host exudates on chlamydospore germination of the bean root rot fungus, *Fusarium solani* f. *phaseoli*. Phytopathology 51, 389–393.
- Smith M S and Tiedje J M 1979 Phases of denitrification following oxygen depletion in soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 11, 261–267.
- Smucker A J M and Erikson A E 1987 Anaerobic stimulation of root exudates and disease of peas. Plant Soil 99, 423–433.
- Söderberg K H and Bååth E 2004 The influence of nitrogen fertilisation on bacterial activity in the rhizosphere of barley. Soil Biol. Biochem. 36, 195–198.
- Steel R G D and Torrie J H 1980 Principles and Procedures of Statistics. McGraw Hill, New York, 481 p.
- Swinnen J, Van-Veen J A and Merckx R 1995 Carbon fluxes in the rhizosphere of winter wheat and spring barley with conventional vs integrated farming. Soil Biol. Biochem. 27, 811–820.
- Trolldenier G 1972 L'influence de la nutrition potassique de haricots nains (Phaseolus vulgaris var. nanus) sur l'exsudation de substances organiques marquées au 14C, le nombre de bactéries rhizosphériques et la respiration des raciness. Rev. Ecol. Biol. Sol. 9, 595–603.
- Trolldenier G and von Rheinbaban W 1981 Root respiration and bacterial population of roots. I. Effect of nitrogen source, potassium nutrition and aeration of roots. Z. Pflanz. Bodenkunde 144, 366–371.
- Voroney R P and Paul E A 1984 Determination of  $k_{\rm C}$  and  $k_{\rm N}$  in situ for calibration of the chloroform fumigation-incubation method. Soil Biol. Biochem. 16, 9–14.
- Walch-Liu P, Neumann G, Bangerth F and Engels C 2000 Rapid effects of nitrogen form on leaf morphogenesis in tobacco. J. Exp. Bot. 51, 227–237.
- Warembourg F R, Roumet C and Lafont C R 2003 Differences in rhizosphere carbon-partitioning among plant species of different families. Plant Soil 256, 347–357.
- Wollum A G II 1982 Cultural methods for soil microorganisms. In Methods of soil analysis. Part 2. Microbiological and Biochemical Properties. Eds. A L Page., R H Miller. and D R Keeney. pp. 781–802. Soil Sci. Soc. Am, Madison.

Section editor: A. Hodge